

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]@comcast.net>

To: "cmccune@wcasd.k12.pa.us" <cmccune@wcasd.k12.pa.us>,
"stiernan@wcasd.k12.pa.us" <stiernan@wcasd.k12.pa.us>,
"gbevilacqua@wcasd.k12.pa.us" <gbevilacqua@wcasd.k12.pa.us>,
"jchester@wcasd.k12.pa.us" <jchester@wcasd.k12.pa.us>,
"ddurnell1@wcasd.k12.pa.us" <ddurnell1@wcasd.k12.pa.us>,
"bgallen@wcasd.k12.pa.us" <bgallen@wcasd.k12.pa.us>,
"kherrmann@wcasd.k12.pa.us" <kherrmann@wcasd.k12.pa.us>,
"kshaw@wcasd.k12.pa.us" <kshaw@wcasd.k12.pa.us>,
"rspackman@wcasd.k12.pa.us" <rspackman@wcasd.k12.pa.us>
Cc: "Sokolowski, Robert J." <RSOKOLOWSKI@wcasd.k12.pa.us>
Date: 10/06/2021 12:16 AM
Subject: Parents are NOT domestic terrorists!

To West Chester School District School Board Members:

The following email (below) which I sent to the National School Boards Association should be self-explanatory. I respectfully request that you read it.

Whatever your political affiliation or your thoughts on masking and Critical Race Theory/DEI, each of you should be as outraged as I am at the request by the NSBA for the federal government to utilize the power of federal law enforcement agencies to effectually squelch parental dissent. As members of this organization, I urge that you, either individually or as a Board, express strong disapproval to the NSBA's leadership regarding this egregious assault on civil liberties.

For background from a legal standpoint, a recent [National Review article](#) addressed the inappropriateness of federal action in most situations of this sort, stating:

"...the First Amendment protects speech unless it unambiguously calls for the use of force that the speaker clearly intends, under circumstances in which the likelihood of violence is real and imminent. Even actual 'threats of violence' are not actionable unless they meet this high threshold.

A fortiori, the First Amendment fully protects speech evincing 'efforts to intimidate individuals based on their views.' As long as such speech does not constitute a clear and imminent threat to do violence if the individual acts on his or her views, there is no incitement — and hence no law-enforcement interest to vindicate.

And in particular, there is no *federal* law-enforcement interest to vindicate. Under the congressional statute criminalizing incitement ([section 373](#) of the penal law, 'Solicitation to commit a crime of violence'), even an actual threat of violence is not actionable unless the speaker has called for 'physical force against property or against the person of another *in violation of the laws of the United States.*'

There is no general federal police power. ... if I threaten to punch my local school-board president in the nose, there is a possibility — depending on how serious and imminent the threat appears — that I have violated state law, but there is no possibility whatsoever that the matter is a concern of the Justice Department. Even if I follow through on the threat, I have still not violated the laws of the United States."

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

[REDACTED]

West Chester, PA

EMAIL SENT TO NATIONAL SCHOOL BOARDS ASSOCIATION

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]@comcast.net>

To: "info@nsba.org" <info@nsba.org>

Date: 10/05/2021 5:23 PM

Subject: Parents are NOT domestic terrorists!

To: Ms. Viola M. Garcia (President, NSBA)

Mr. Chip Slaven (Interim Executive Director & CEO, NSBA)

I strongly object to the NSBA's recent letter to President Biden (*attached*) requesting that he direct federal law enforcement agencies to protect its members from 'angry mobs' of parents who are challenging COVID-19 restrictions and the teaching of Critical Race Theory (and related curricula) in our public schools. Enjoining the forces of these numerous agencies to effectually intimidate **parents** (who are merely acting out of justifiable concerns for their childrens' well-being and education) is both unconscionable and unwarranted. It is ***inexcusable*** that an organization whose members control education in ***taxpayer-funded*** public schools would make this egregious request. There is no question that this heavy-handed federal overreach is intended to squelch legitimate dissent.

The first sentence in the NSBA's letter to President Biden states "America's public schools and its education leaders are under an immediate threat." ***This is true.*** Public schools are indeed under a threat from purported "educators," school board members, and administrators who are facilitating and escalating the indoctrination of our nation's schoolchildren. Students are subjected to innumerable forms of social engineering that supplant and attempt to minimize parental influences and control of their children. Examples are:

1. The racially-divisive, cultish and costly DEI (Diversity, Equity and Inclusion) initiatives that incorporate numerous aspects of Critical Race Theory;
2. Social Emotional Learning (SEL); and
3. "Comprehensive Sexuality Education" (CSE) that is imposed on children as young as kindergartners.

Threats of a serious nature should be dealt with on an ***individual*** basis, preferably by local law enforcement; federal agencies should intervene only if it is deemed absolutely necessary. Although certain examples mentioned in the NSBA letter might require federal involvement, many of those noted were relatively minor and minimally disruptive, harmless protests by parents who were exercising their free speech rights in objecting to matters that they perceived to be detrimental to their children. It is ludicrous, unwarranted and even embarrassing that the NSBA has cited examples such as those documented below in their request to President Biden for a generalized preemptive involvement of the U.S. Department of Justice, the FBI (and its National Security Branch and Counterterrorism Division), Homeland Security, the U.S. Secret Service, and its National Threat Assessment Center. To even suggest the enactment of the Patriot Act in such situations is preposterous!

- "School board meetings have been disrupted in California, Florida, Georgia, and other states because of local directives for mask coverings to protect students and educators from COVID-19."
- "An individual was arrested in Illinois for aggravated battery and disorderly conduct during a school board meeting. During two separate school board meetings in Michigan, an individual yelled a Nazi salute in protest to masking requirements, and another individual prompted the board to call a recess because of opposition to critical race theory."
- "In New Jersey, Ohio, and other states, anti-mask proponents are inciting chaos during board meetings. In Virginia, an individual was arrested, another man was ticketed for trespassing, and a third person was hurt during a school board meeting discussion distinguishing current curricula from critical race theory and regarding equity issues. In other states including Washington, Texas, Wisconsin, Wyoming, and Tennessee, school boards have been confronted by angry mobs and forced to end meetings abruptly. A resident in Alabama, who proclaimed himself as 'vaccine police,' has called school administrators while filming himself on Facebook Live."

Do these examples even remotely resemble domestic terrorism or hate crimes? Crucial Question: Who would determine which situations require federal interventions?

There are indeed many issues that **should** concern the NSBA, but unfortunately this organization of school board members is either ignoring or facilitating them. In addition to the examples of detrimental social engineering indoctrinations cited above, the disturbing and escalating influence of the Black Lives Matter organization in our schools **should** be a major concern. BLM flags and posters are displayed both inside and outside numerous schools across the country. BLM T-shirts are worn proudly in schools by students and teachers alike. The BLM movement is touted by many teachers and administrators as one to emulate. Anyone criticizing BLM risks being called a racist or even being fired. Children in many schools are trained to become activists and even encouraged to participate in BLM protests. It must be remembered, however, that this political organization was founded by **Marxists** who **purport** to care about black lives but whose primary concern is their pocketbooks. There are undoubtedly many sincere individuals who support BLM for the right reasons; however, the fact remains that this movement has created racial divisiveness throughout the United States and must be held responsible for the decimation of numerous cities from their "peaceful protests" (AKA "riots"). If **any** group is guilty of domestic terrorism it's BLM, yet the NSBA has not uttered a word of concern about this organization's increasing influence in our schools. They have instead chosen to vilify parents who merely want the best possible EDUCATION WITHOUT INDOCTRINATION for their children. It is time for the NSBA to get their priorities straight!


(a parent, grandparent, retired college teacher, former supporter/proponent of public education, and unapologetic opponent of the indoctrination occurring in our public schools today)

West Chester, PA

Attachment: <https://defendinged.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Letter.pdf>